

diverse project management body of knowledd to faculty expectations.

- 2. Describe your assessment processAY23for theseProgramStudentLearningOutcomes, including the collection of data, analysis of data, and facultand other, e.g., advisory board)onversations around the findings (1000 wordsor less)
 - End semester faculty review **st**fudent outcomes at the course level and program level for students graduating in that semester. Faculty and Advisory Board conversations regarding findings. Insights gained used for continuous improvement for following semesters gram and assessmentate and progress reported annually to PMI-GACMSPM and GCPM programmatic accrediting body).
- 3. What are the findings and what do they tell the faculty about student learning in your program? (1000 words or less)
 - Our students met our standards and are achieving learning outcorhiesvever, in recent years, some students enter the capstone project less prepared than we expected. Others far exceed expectations. The less ready students requirereater level of support that wanticipated by that point. We are concerned about the consistency across our student population and are taking measures to understand what is driving the difference in capstone readilmesarticular we are assessing member middle "core" courses taken before entering the PM A686A/B 2-semester Capstone series: PM A603: Initiating and Planning, PM A604; Executing and Controlling and PM A605: Closing and Transitioning to Operations. Essentially these three courses prepade students to excel in the capstone course. With new adjunct instructors pending new full-time faculty we will take a more critical look at course learning objectives, syllabus contents sent methods to determine shortfalls for those courses or propose a curriculum redesign9(t9843(cr)0.0013d /F4 11.C5.00)

Revised9-20-2023 Page2

- 4. Based on the findings, did the faculty make any recommendations for changes to improve student achievement of the Program Student Learning Outcomes? Select Yes or No.
 - i. Pleasedescribethe recommendedaction(s), what improvements in student learning the program hopes to see, the proposed timeline, and how the program will know if the change(s) has worked. If no recommendations for changes were made, please explain that decision. (1000 words or less)

We plan to address more stringent readiness measures at checkpoints in the middle core required series to assess readiness and provide earlier mentoring.

We will also address the nuances of the in-person/virtual participation shift. This situation will challenge us to identify ways to enhance the learning environment under these new circumstances and to assess whether it will persist or revert to pre-CONID nment.

We will be hiring two new faculty members, one to fill a vacancy due to a retirement and another to help develop a Bachelor's in PM program. These new faculty members will bring additional insights and ideas on how to reshape the grad**vate**culum (planned for a refresh) and introduce new concepts for assessment and high-impact practices. They will also help design the new undergraduate program which will further challenge our assessment strategies.

5. In the past academic year, howlid your programuse the results of previous assessment yclesto make changes intended to improve student chievement of the Program Student Learning Outcomes? Please check all that apply.

Coursecurriculum changes

Course prerequisite changes

Changes in teaching methods

Changes in advising

Degree requirement changes

Degree course sequencing

Course enrollment changes (e.gourse capacity, grading structure [pass/fail;]).

Changes in program policies/procedures

Changes to Program Student Learning Outcomes (PSLOs)

Collegewide initiatives (e.g.HighImpact Practices)

Faculty, staff, student development

Other

• No changes were implemented in 23Y(If no options above were selected)

If you checked Other above, please describ 100 wordsor less)

Revised9-20-2023 Page3